

1. 10 points The following sentences explain the fundamental concepts of programming languages. Fill in the blanks with the following terms (**2pt per blank**):

ad-hoc	continuation	eager	memory	subtype
algebraic data type	continuation-passing style	lazy	parametric	type inference
complete	dynamic analysis	let	static analysis	type sound

- A(n) represents the rest of the computation, and it is used to describe the control flow of a program. is a programming style passing it as an explicit parameter to a function.
 - A type system is if it guarantees that a well-typed program will never cause a type error at run-time.
 - Polymorphism uses a single entity as multiple types, and there are various kinds of polymorphism. Among them,
 - polymorphism defines a subtype relation between types to support polymorphism.
 - polymorphism introduces type variables and ways to instantiate them with type arguments to support polymorphism.
2. Consider a language **KFAE** defined with the following syntax and small-step operational (reduction) semantics. It supports **first-class functions** and **first-class continuations**.

Expressions $\mathbb{E} \ni e ::= n \mid e + e \mid e * e \mid x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e(e) \mid \mathbf{vcc} \ x; e$

$\langle \kappa \parallel s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \parallel s \rangle$

$\langle (\sigma \vdash n) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa \parallel n :: s \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (+) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$
$\langle (+) :: \kappa \parallel n_2 :: n_1 :: s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa \parallel (n_1 + n_2) :: s \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1 * e_2) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (*) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$
$\langle (*) :: \kappa \parallel n_2 :: n_1 :: s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa \parallel (n_1 * n_2) :: s \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash x) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa \parallel \sigma(x) :: s \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash \lambda x.e) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa \parallel \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle :: s \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1(e_2)) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (@) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$
$\langle (@) :: \kappa \parallel v_2 :: \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle :: s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle (\sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$
$\langle (@) :: \kappa \parallel v_2 :: \langle \kappa' \parallel s' \rangle :: s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \kappa' \parallel v_2 :: s' \rangle$
$\langle (\sigma \vdash \mathbf{vcc} \ x; e) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle (\sigma[x \mapsto \langle \kappa \parallel s \rangle] \vdash e) :: \kappa \parallel s \rangle$

Values	$\mathbb{V} \ni v ::= n$	Continuations	$\mathbb{K} \ni \kappa ::= \square$
	$\mid \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle$		$\mid (\sigma \vdash e) :: \kappa$
	$\mid \langle \kappa \parallel s \rangle$		$\mid (+) :: \kappa$
Environments	$\sigma \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{V}$		$\mid (\times) :: \kappa$
Value Stacks	$\mathbb{S} \ni s ::= \blacksquare \mid v :: s$		$\mid (@) :: \kappa$

The desugaring function $\mathcal{D}[-]$ is defined as follows, and recursive cases omitted.

$$\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{val} \ x = e; e'] = (\lambda x. \mathcal{D}[e'])(\mathcal{D}[e])$$

- (a) 15 points Consider the following KFAE expression:

$$2 * \{ \text{vcc } x; 3 + x(5) \}$$

Complete the following **reduction steps** of the expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \quad (\emptyset \vdash 2 * \{ \text{vcc } x; 3 + x(5) \}) :: \square \parallel \quad \blacksquare \rangle \\
 \rightarrow & \langle (\emptyset \vdash 2) :: (\emptyset \vdash \text{vcc } x; 3 + x(5)) :: (*) :: \square \parallel \quad \blacksquare \rangle \\
 \rightarrow & \langle \quad (\emptyset \vdash \text{vcc } x; 3 + x(5)) :: (*) :: \square \parallel \quad 2 :: \blacksquare \rangle \\
 \rightarrow & \langle \quad (\sigma_0 \vdash 3 + x(5)) :: (*) :: \square \parallel \quad 2 :: \blacksquare \rangle \\
 \rightarrow & \langle (\sigma_0 \vdash 3) :: (\sigma_0 \vdash x(5)) :: (+) :: (*) :: \square \parallel \quad 2 :: \blacksquare \rangle \\
 \rightarrow & \langle \quad (\sigma_0 \vdash x(5)) :: (+) :: (*) :: \square \parallel \quad 3 :: 2 :: \blacksquare \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0 = [x \mapsto \langle (*) :: \square \parallel 2 :: \blacksquare \rangle]$

- (b) 5 points Write the result of evaluating the following KFAE expression:

```

2 * {
  vcc a;
  val f = { vcc b; 3 * b(a) };
  val x = 5 * f(7);
  x * 11
}
    
```

Result:

3. 10 points **True/False questions.** Answer O for True and X for False.
 (Each question is worth **2 points**, but you will get **-2 points** for each wrong answer.)

1. In a continuation-passing style, every function call is in a tail position.
2. The type system is sound if all normally terminating programs are well-typed.
3. Typically, a type system defined with a subsumption rule is algorithmic.
4. Compilers for functional languages often utilize the continuations.
5. A general algebraic data type is a possibly recursive sum type of product types.

4. Assume that we revised one of **typing rules** in TFAE from the left to the right:

$$\frac{\Gamma[x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n).e : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n).e : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau}$$

In the revised typing rule, parameter types $x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n$ are no longer passed when checking the type of the function body e .

- (a) 3 points Give an example of a TFAE expression that is **well-typed** under the **original (left) rule** but **ill-typed** under the **revised (right) rule**.

- (b) 7 points If the type system with the above **revised (right) rule** is **type sound**, explain why it is. Otherwise, give an example of a TFAE expression that passes the type checking but causes a run-time type error.

5. 15 points Fill in the blanks in the **type derivation** (proof tree) according to the **typing rules** of TRFAE. If it is impossible to derive a tree, write **“impossible”** in the blank.

$\emptyset \vdash \text{def } f(x:\text{num}):\text{num} = f(x) * 7; f :$

where $\begin{cases} \Gamma_0 = [f : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{num}] & \Gamma_3 = [f : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{bool}] \\ \Gamma_1 = [x : \text{num}] & \Gamma_4 = [x : \text{bool}] \\ \Gamma_2 = [f : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{num}, x : \text{num}] & \Gamma_5 = [f : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{bool}, x : \text{num}] \end{cases}$

6. 10 points The following Scala code is an excerpt from the **type checker** for ATFAE. Fill the blank according to the **typing rules** of ATFAE (**5pt per blank**).

```
def mustValid(ty: Type, tenv: TypeEnv): Type = ...
def typeCheck(expr: Expr, tenv: TypeEnv): Type = expr match
  ...
  case TypeDef(tname, ws, body) =>
    if (tenv.tys.contains(tname)) error(s"already defined type: $tname")
    val tenv1 = tenv.addType(tname, ws.map(w => w.name -> w.ptys).toMap)
    val tenv2 =
      tenv1.addVars(ws.map(w => w.name -> ArrowT(w.ptys, NameT(tname))))
    for (w <- ws; pty <- w.ptys) { (A) }
    (B)
```

Note that the mustValid function implements the **well-formedness of types** according to the rules defined in the form $\Gamma \vdash \tau$.

(A) =

(B) =

7. 10 points Fill in the blanks with **types** to make the following PATFAE expression pass the type-checking process according to the typing rules of PATFAE. (**2pt for (A), (B), and (C)** and **4pt for (D)**). (Note that this language has no definition of **type equivalence**.)

```
val f = ∀α. {
  enum t { case a(); case b(α, t) };
  λ(g: (A)). λ(x0:α, x1:α, x2:α, x3:α). {
    def h(y: (B)): (C) = y match {
      case a() => false
      case b(c, d) => if (g(c)) true else h(d)
    };
    h(b(x0, b(x1, b(x2, b(x3, a())))))
  }
};
val x0 = f[num](λ(n:num).{ n < 5 })(5, 6, 4, 7);
val x1 = f[num](λ(n:num).{ n < 3 })(5, 6, 4, 7);
val x2 = f[bool](λ(b:bool).{ b })(true, false, true, false);
val x3 = f[bool](λ(b:bool).{ false })(true, false, true, false);
(λ(g: (D)).{ g })(f)
```

(A) = (B) = (C) =

(D) =

8. The following Scala code is a **type checker** for SETFAE, an extension of TFAE with the **subtype polymorphism** and the **even number type** (EventT) for the set of even numbers.

```
enum Expr:
  case Num(number: BigInt)
  case Add(left: Expr, right: Expr)
  case Mul(left: Expr, right: Expr)
  case Val(name: String, init: Expr, body: Expr)
  case Id(name: String)
  case Fun(params: List[String], tys: List[Type], body: Expr)
  case App(fun: Expr, args: List[Expr])
enum Type:
  case NumT
  case EventT /* newly added even number types */
  case ArrowT(paramTys: List[Type], retTy: Type)
type TypeEnv = Map[String, Type]
import Expr.*, Type.*;
def err(): Nothing = ...

def mustSub(l: Type, r: Type): Unit = if (!isSub(l, r)) err()
def isSub(l: Type, r: Type): Boolean = (l, r) match
  case (EventT, EventT) | (EventT, NumT) | (NumT, NumT) => true
  case (ArrowT(lps, lr), ArrowT(rps, rr)) => (A)
  case _ => false

def typeCheck(expr: Expr, tenv: TypeEnv = Map.empty): Type = expr match
  case Num(n) => if (n % 2 == 0) EventT else NumT
  case Add(l, r) => ...
  case Mul(l, r) => (B)
  case Val(x, e, b) => typeCheck(b, tenv + (x -> typeCheck(e, tenv)))
  case Id(x) => tenv.getOrElse(x, err())
  case Fun(ps, ts, b) => ArrowT(ts, typeCheck(b, tenv ++ (ps zip ts)))
  case App(f, as) => typeCheck(f, tenv) match
    case ArrowT(pts, rt) if pts.length == as.length =>
      for ((a, p) <- (as.map(typeCheck(_, tenv)) zip pts)) mustSub(a, p)
      rt
    case _ => err()
```

The followings are given **tests** for the type checker implementation:

```
def parse(str: String): Expr = ...
def tytest(s: String, t: Type): Unit = if (typeCheck(parse(s)) != t) err()

tytest("1 + 1", NumT); tytest("1 + 2", NumT); tytest("2 + 1", NumT);
tytest("2 + 2", EventT); tytest("1 * 1", NumT); tytest("1 * 2", EventT);
tytest("2 * 1", EventT); tytest("2 * 2", EventT);
tytest(check("""
  val g = (x: Number, y: Number) => x * y * 2
  val h = (f: (Even, Even) => Number) => f(4, 6)
  h(g)
"""), NumT)
```

- (a) 5 points Fill in the blank (A) in the Scala code to **pass all the given tests**.

(A) =

- (b) 5 points Fill in the blank (B) in the Scala code to **pass all the given tests**.

(B) =

- (c) 5 points Write the **algorithmic type rules** representing the type-checking algorithm for function applications (App) according to the given Scala code.
(You can use the notation $\tau <: \tau'$ to represent that τ is a **subtype** of τ' .)

$\Gamma \vdash e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n) :$

This is the last page.
I hope that your tests went well!

Appendix

TFAE – Typed Functions and Arithmetic Expressions

Expressions $\mathbb{E} \ni e ::= n \mid e + e \mid e * e \mid \text{val } x = e; e \mid x \mid \lambda([x:\tau]^*).e \mid e(e^*)$
Types $\mathbb{T} \ni \tau ::= \text{num} \mid (\tau^*) \rightarrow \tau$ Numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ Identifiers $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{num}} \quad \frac{\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{num}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 * e_2 : \text{num}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x:\tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{val } x = e_1; e_2 : \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma[x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n] \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n).e : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{Domain}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash e_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau}$$

Type Environments $\Gamma \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{T}$

$$\frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 * e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 * n_2}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad \sigma[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash \text{val } x = e_1; e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \quad \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \lambda(x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n).e \Rightarrow \langle \lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n).e, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{Domain}(\sigma)}{\sigma \vdash x \Rightarrow \sigma(x)} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad \dots \quad \sigma \vdash e_n \Rightarrow v_n \quad \sigma' [x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n] \vdash e \Rightarrow v}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n).e, \sigma' \rangle}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Rightarrow v}$$

Values $\mathbb{V} \ni v ::= n \mid \langle \lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n).e, \sigma \rangle$ Environments $\sigma \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{V}$

TRFAE – TFAE with Recursion and Conditionals

Expressions $\mathbb{E} \ni e ::= \dots \mid b \mid e < e \mid \text{if } (e) e \text{ else } e \mid \text{def } x([x:\tau]^*):\tau = e; e$
Types $\mathbb{T} \ni \tau ::= \dots \mid \text{bool}$ Booleans $b \in \mathbb{B}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash b : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 < e_2 : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } (e_0) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x_0 : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau, x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma[x_0 : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau] \vdash e' : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{def } x_0(x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n):\tau = e; e' : \tau'}$$

$$\frac{}{\sigma \vdash b \Rightarrow b} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 < e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 < n_2} \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1}{\sigma \vdash \text{if } (e_0) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \Rightarrow v_1}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash \text{if } (e_0) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \quad \frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x_0 \mapsto \langle \lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n).e, \sigma' \rangle] \quad \sigma' \vdash e' \Rightarrow v'}{\sigma \vdash \text{def } x_0(x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n):\tau = e; e' \Rightarrow v'}$$

Values $\mathbb{V} \ni v ::= \dots \mid b$

