Lecture 17 – Compiling with Continuations COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park

PLRG

2023 Fall

Recall



- We will learn about **continuations** with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- A continuation represents the rest of the computation.
 - Continuation Passing Style (CPS)
 - First-Class Continuations
 - KFAE FAE with first-class continuations

Recall



- We will learn about **continuations** with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- A continuation represents the rest of the computation.
 - Continuation Passing Style (CPS)
 - First-Class Continuations
 - KFAE FAE with first-class continuations
- In this lecture, let's learn compiling with continuations.

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Compilers



A **compiler** is a program that translates a program written in one language (the **source language**) into an equivalent program in another language (the **target language**).



Typically, the source language is a **high-level language** (e.g., Scala, Python, JavaScript, etc.) and the target language is a **low-level language** (e.g., JVM bytecode, LLVM IR, assembly, etc.).

Compilers



The following figure shows a typical compilation process:



Let's focus on the **IR Generator** to learn how to compile with functional languages with continuations into a **low-level IR**.

6/35

Compiling Functional Languages



How to compile our functional languages into a low-level IR?

```
/* FAE */
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5
```

/* IR */ F1: F5: mov r4, r3 add r1, r1, 5 jmp r2 jmp HALT F2: F6: mov r4, F1 mov r4, r1 jmp r2 mov r1, 3 F3: mov r2, F4 mov r1, F2 mov r3, F5 jmp r2 jmp r4 F4: START: mul r1, r1, 2 mov r1, F4 add r1, r1, 1 mov r2, F6 jmp r4 jmp F3 HALT:

Compiling Functional Languages



How to compile our functional languages into a low-level IR?

```
/* FAE */
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5
```



Let's learn how to compile with continuations!

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Recall: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)



We learned that **continuation-passing style (CPS)** is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to a function and calls it to give the result to the continuation.

Recall: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)



We learned that **continuation-passing style (CPS)** is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to a function and calls it to give the result to the continuation.

For example, consider the following Scala code written in direct style:

We can rewrite it in continuation-passing style as follows:



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5
```



11 / 35

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
HALT(twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5)
```

Let's transform the twice function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1(a => f(f(a)))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => HALT(x1(3) + 5))
```

Let's transform the $a \Rightarrow f(f(a))$ function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => k2(f(f(a))))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => HALT(x2 + 5)))
```

Let's transform the body of $x^2 \Rightarrow HALT(x^2 + 5)$ into CPS using the syntactic sugar for val.



14 / 35

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => k2(f(f(a))))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
    val x3 = x2 + 5;
    HALT(x3)
})
```

Let's transform the b => b * 2 + 1 function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => f(a, x4 => f(x4, k2)))
};
twice({
    (b, k3) => k3(b * 2 + 1)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
    val x3 = x2 + 5;
    HALT(x3)
}))
```

Let's transform the body of (b, k3) => k3(b * 2 + 1) into CPS using the syntactic sugar for val.



16 / 35

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => \{
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

This is the CPS version of our running example.



A **lambda lifting** transformation lifts nested functions to top-level functions.

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```



18 / 35

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => \{
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, x2 \Rightarrow \{
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

First, let's lift the (b, k3) => ... function to top-level.



19/35

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
twice(x7, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, x2 \Rightarrow \{
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
twice(x7, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

Next, let's lift the $x2 \implies \dots$ function to top-level.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
twice(x7, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1))
```

We use the name Ck to denote that the function is a continuation.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
twice(x7, x1 => x1(3, C1))
```

Let's lift the $x1 \Rightarrow \dots$ function to top-level.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



We cannot lift the (a, k2) => ... and x4 => ... functions because f is their **captured variable** from the twice function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



Similarly, k2 in the x4 => ... function is also a **captured variable** from the $(a, k2) \Rightarrow \dots$ function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



To resolve this problem, we need to perform **closure conversion** by passing the captured variables as arguments to the function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



There are diverse **closure conversion** algorithms, but we skip their details in this course. If we perform one of them, the result is as follows.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
 k1((a, f1, k2) => f1(a, f1, k2, (x4, f2, k4) => f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)))
};
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2:
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6, f3, k5)
};
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) => {
 val x3 = x2 + 5;
 HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, x7, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



Finally, we can perform **lambda lifting** transformation for remaining functions as follows:

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val C3 = (x4, f2, k4) \Rightarrow \{
  f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)
};
val C4 = (a, f1, k2) => {
  f1(a, f1, k2, C3)
}:
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1(C4)
};
```

```
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2:
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6, f3, k5)
};
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) \Rightarrow \{
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow f
  x1(3, x7, C1)
};
twice(x7, C2)
```



Now, our transformed code satisfies the following conditions.

- **1** Every function is in the **top-level scope**.
- 2 Every function call is in tail position.
- 3 Every function always ends with function call.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val C3 = (x4, f2, k4) => {
  f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)
};
val C4 = (a, f1, k2) => {
  f1(a, f1, k2, C3)
};
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1(C4)
};
```

```
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
 val x5 = b * 2;
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6, f3, k5)
}:
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) => {
 val x3 = x2 + 5:
 HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => {
 x1(3, x7, C1)
};
twice(x7, C2)
```

Alpha Renaming



30 / 35

To easily convert the code into the **low-level IR**, we need to perform **alpha renaming** to make every variable name unique and in a consistent manner (Fk: k-th function, xk: k-th parameter).

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val F1 = (x1, x2, x3) => {
    x2(x1, x2, x3, x3)
};
val F2 = (x1, x2, x3, F1)
};
val F3 = (x1, x2) => {
    x2(F2)
};
```

```
val F4 = (x1, x2, x3, x4) \Rightarrow \{
 val x5 = x1 * 2;
 val x6 = x5 + 1:
  x4(x6, x2, x3)
};
val F5 = (x1, x2, x3) => {
 val x4 = x1 + 5;
 HALT(x4)
};
val F6 = x1 => \{
 x1(3, F4, F5)
};
F3(F4, F6)
```

Transformation to Low-level IR



Now, we can easily convert the code into the low-level IR.

| F1: | F3: | F5: |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| mov x1, a1 | mov x1, a1 | mov x1, a1 |
| mov x2, a2 | mov x2, a2 | mov x2, a2 |
| mov x3, a3 | mov a1, F2 | mov x3, a3 |
| mov a1, x1 | jmp x2 | add x4, x1, 5 |
| mov a2, x2 | F4: | mov a1, x4 |
| mov a3, x3 | mov x1, a1 | jmp HALT |
| mov a4, x3 | mov x2, a2 | F6: |
| jmp x2 | mov x3, a3 | mov x1, a1 |
| F2: | mov x4, a4 | mov a1, 3 |
| mov x1, a1 | mul x5, x1, 2 | mov a2, F4 |
| mov x2, a2 | add x6, x5, 1 | mov a3, F5 |
| mov x3, a3 | mov a1, x6 | jmp x1 |
| mov a1, x1 | mov a2, x2 | START: |
| mov a2, x2 | mov a3, x3 | mov a1, F4 |
| mov a3, x3 | jmp x4 | mov a2, F6 |
| mov a4, F1 | | jmp F3 |
| jmp x2 | | HALT: |

COSE212 @ Korea University

Optimization of Low-level IR



The following lines of code are actually **unnecessary**:

| F1: | F3: | F5: |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| mov x1, a1 | mov x1, a1 | mov x1, a1 |
| mov x2, a2 | mov x2, a2 | mov x2, a2 |
| mov x3, a3 | mov a1, F2 | mov x3, a3 |
| mov a1, x1 | jmp x2 | add x4, x1, 5 |
| mov a2, x2 | F4: | mov a1, x4 |
| mov a3, x3 | mov x1, a1 | jmp HALT |
| mov a4, x3 | mov x2, a2 | F6: |
| jmp x2 | mov x3, a3 | mov x1, a1 |
| F2: | mov x4, a4 | mov a1, 3 |
| mov x1, a1 | mul x5, x1, 2 | mov a2, F4 |
| mov x2, a2 | add x6, x5, 1 | mov a3, F5 |
| mov x3, a3 | mov a1, x6 | jmp x1 |
| mov a1, x1 | mov a2, x2 | START: |
| mov a2, x2 | mov a3, x3 | mov a1, F4 |
| mov a3, x3 | jmp x4 | mov a2, F6 |
| mov a4, F1 | | jmp F3 |
| jmp x2 | | HALT: |

Optimization of Low-level IR



After removing all unnecessary lines of code and assign registers based on the **graph coloring** algorithm, we get the following code:

| /* IR */ | |
|---------------|---------------|
| F1: | F5: |
| mov r4, r3 | add r1, r1, 5 |
| jmp r2 | jmp HALT |
| F2: | F6: |
| mov r4, F1 | mov r4, r1 |
| jmp r2 | mov r1, 3 |
| F3: | mov r2, F4 |
| mov r1, F2 | mov r3, F5 |
| jmp r2 | jmp r4 |
| F4: | START: |
| mul r1, r1, 2 | mov r1, F4 |
| add r1, r1, 1 | mov r2, F6 |
| jmp r4 | jmp F3 |
| | HALT: |

Summary



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Next Lecture



35 / 35

• Type Systems

Jihyeok Park jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr https://plrg.korea.ac.kr