Lecture 17 – Compiling with Continuations COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park

PLRG

2024 Fall

Recall



- We will learn about continuations with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- A continuation represents the rest of the computation.
 - First-Class Continuations
 - KFAE FAE with first-class continuations
 - Control Statements
- In this lecture, let's learn compiling with continuations.

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations


Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IF Optimization of Low-level IR

4/36

Compilers



A **compiler** is a program that translates a program written in one language (the **source language**) into an equivalent program in another language (the **target language**).




Typically, the source language is a **high-level language** (e.g., Scala, Python, JavaScript, etc.) and the target language is a **low-level language** (e.g., JVM bytecode, LLVM IR, assembly, etc.).

Compilers



The following figure shows a typical compilation process:




Let's focus on the **IR Generator** to learn how to compile with functional languages with continuations into a **low-level IR**.

Compiling Functional Languages



How to compile our functional languages into a low-level IR?

```
/* FAE */
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5
```



Let's learn how to compile with continuations!

Contents



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Recall: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)



We learned that **continuation-passing style (CPS)** is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to a function and calls it to give the result to the continuation.

For example, consider the following Scala code written in direct style:

We can rewrite it in continuation-passing style as follows:



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5
```



11/36

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = f => {
    a => f(f(a))
};
HALT(twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
})(3) + 5)
```

Let's transform the twice function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1(a => f(f(a)))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => HALT(x1(3) + 5))
```

Let's transform the $a \Rightarrow f(f(a))$ function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => k2(f(f(a))))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => HALT(x2 + 5)))
```

Let's transform the body of $x^2 \Rightarrow HALT(x^2 + 5)$ into CPS using the syntactic sugar for val.



14 / 36

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => k2(f(f(a))))
};
twice({
    b => b * 2 + 1
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
    val x3 = x2 + 5;
    HALT(x3)
})
```

Let's transform the b => b * 2 + 1 function into CPS.



Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
    k1((a, k2) => f(a, x4 => f(x4, k2)))
};
twice({
    (b, k3) => k3(b * 2 + 1)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
    val x3 = x2 + 5;
    HALT(x3)
}))
```

Let's transform the body of (b, k3) => k3(b * 2 + 1) into CPS using the syntactic sugar for val.



16 / 36

Let's apply the CPS transformation to our running example.

(Assume that FAE is extended with multiple parameters.)

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => \{
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

This is the CPS version of our running example.



A **lambda lifting** transformation lifts nested functions to top-level functions.

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1:
  k3(x6)
}, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

17 / 36



18 / 36

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
twice((b, k3) => \{
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, x2 \Rightarrow {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

First, let's lift the (b, k3) => ... function to top-level.



19/36

Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
twice(x7, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, x2 \Rightarrow \{
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2:
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
twice(x7, x1 => x1(3, x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
}))
```

Next, let's lift the $x2 \implies \dots$ function to top-level.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
twice(x7, x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1))
```

We use the name Ck to denote that the function is a continuation.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
twice(x7, x1 => x1(3, C1))
```

Let's lift the $x1 \Rightarrow \dots$ function to top-level.



Let's apply the lambda lifting transformation to our running example.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



We cannot lift the (a, k2) => ... and x4 => ... functions because f is their **captured variable** from the twice function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



Similarly, k2 in the x4 => ... function is also a **captured variable** from the $(a, k2) \Rightarrow \dots$ function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



To resolve this problem, we need to perform **closure conversion** by passing the captured variables as arguments to the function.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1((a, k2) \Rightarrow f(a, x4 \Rightarrow f(x4, k2)))
};
val x7 = (b, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2;
  val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6)
};
val C1 = x2 => {
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow x1(3, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



There are diverse **closure conversion** algorithms, but we skip their details in this course. If we perform one of them, the result is as follows.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val twice = (f, k1) \Rightarrow \{
 k1((a, f1, k2) => f1(a, f1, k2, (x4, f2, k4) => f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)))
};
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2:
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6, f3, k5)
};
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) => {
 val x3 = x2 + 5;
 HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => x1(3, x7, C1);
twice(x7, C2)
```



Finally, we can perform **lambda lifting** transformation for remaining functions as follows:

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x \Rightarrow x;
val C3 = (x4, f2, k4) \Rightarrow \{
  f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)
};
val C4 = (a, f1, k2) => {
  f1(a, f1, k2, C3)
}:
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1(C4)
};
```

```
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
  val x5 = b * 2:
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
  k3(x6, f3, k5)
};
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) \Rightarrow \{
  val x3 = x2 + 5;
  HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 \Rightarrow f
  x1(3, x7, C1)
};
twice(x7, C2)
```



29/36

Now, our transformed code satisfies the following conditions.

- **1** Every function is in the **top-level scope**.
- 2 Every function call is in tail position.
- 3 Every function always ends with function call.

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val C3 = (x4, f2, k4) => {
  f2(x4, f2, k4, k4)
};
val C4 = (a, f1, k2) => {
  f1(a, f1, k2, C3)
};
val twice = (f, k1) => {
  k1(C4)
};
```

```
val x7 = (b, f3, k5, k3) => {
 val x5 = b * 2;
 val x6 = x5 + 1;
 k3(x6, f3, k5)
}:
val C1 = (x2, f4, k6) => {
 val x3 = x2 + 5:
 HALT(x3)
};
val C2 = x1 => {
 x1(3, x7, C1)
};
twice(x7, C2)
```

Alpha Renaming



30 / 36

To easily convert the code into the **low-level IR**, we need to perform **alpha renaming** to make every variable name unique and in a consistent manner (Fk: k-th function, xk: k-th parameter).

```
/* FAE */
val HALT = x => x;
val F1 = (x1, x2, x3) => {
    x2(x1, x2, x3, x3)
};
val F2 = (x1, x2, x3, F1)
};
val F3 = (x1, x2) => {
    x2(F2)
};
```

```
val F4 = (x1, x2, x3, x4) \Rightarrow \{
 val x5 = x1 * 2;
 val x6 = x5 + 1:
  x4(x6, x2, x3)
};
val F5 = (x1, x2, x3) => {
 val x4 = x1 + 5;
 HALT(x4)
};
val F6 = x1 => \{
 x1(3, F4, F5)
};
F3(F4, F6)
```

Transformation to Low-level IR



Now, we can easily convert the code into the low-level IR.

F1:	F3:	F5:
mov x1, a1	mov x1, a1	mov x1, a1
mov x2, a2	mov x2, a2	mov x2, a2
mov x3, a3	mov a1, F2	mov x3, a3
mov a1, x1	jmp x2	add x4, x1, 5
mov a2, x2	F4:	mov a1, x4
mov a3, x3	mov x1, a1	jmp HALT
mov a4, x3	mov x2, a2	F6:
jmp x2	mov x3, a3	mov x1, a1
F2:	mov x4, a4	mov a1, 3
mov x1, a1	mul x5, x1, 2	mov a2, F4
mov x2, a2	add x6, x5, 1	mov a3, F5
mov x3, a3	mov a1, x6	jmp x1
mov a1, x1	mov a2, x2	START:
mov a2, x2	mov a3, x3	mov a1, F4
mov a3, x3	jmp x4	mov a2, F6
mov a4, F1		jmp F3
jmp x2		HALT:

COSE212 @ Korea University

Optimization of Low-level IR



The following lines of code are actually **unnecessary**:

F1:	F3:	F5:
mov x1, a1	mov x1, a1	mov x1, a1
mov x2, a2	mov x2, a2	mov x2, a2
mov x3, a3	mov a1, F2	mov x3, a3
mov a1, x1	jmp x2	add x4, x1, 5
mov a2, x2	F4:	mov a1, x4
mov a3, x3	mov x1, a1	jmp HALT
mov a4, x3	mov x2, a2	F6:
jmp x2	mov x3, a3	mov x1, a1
F2:	mov x4, a4	mov a1, 3
mov x1, a1	mul x5, x1, 2	mov a2, F4
mov x2, a2	add x6, x5, 1	mov a3, F5
mov x3, a3	mov a1, x6	jmp x1
mov a1, x1	mov a2, x2	START:
mov a2, x2	mov a3, x3	mov a1, F4
mov a3, x3	jmp x4	mov a2, F6
mov a4, F1		jmp F3
jmp x2		HALT:

Optimization of Low-level IR



After removing all unnecessary lines of code and assign registers based on the **graph coloring** algorithm, we get the following code:

/* IR */	
F1:	F5:
mov r4, r3	add r1, r1, 5
jmp r2	jmp HALT
F2:	F6:
mov r4, F1	mov r4, r1
jmp r2	mov r1, 3
F3:	mov r2, F4
mov r1, F2	mov r3, F5
jmp r2	jmp r4
F4:	START:
mul r1, r1, 2	mov r1, F4
add r1, r1, 1	mov r2, F6
jmp r4	jmp F3
	HALT:

Summary



1. Compilers

2. Compiling with Continuations

Continuation Passing Style Lambda Lifting Closure Conversion Alpha Renaming Transformation to Low-level IR Optimization of Low-level IR

Homework #3



https://github.com/ku-plrg-classroom/docs/tree/main/cose212/magnet

- Please see above document on GitHub:
 - Implement reduce function.
 - 2 Implement bodyOfSquares functions.
- The due date is 23:59 on Nov. 20 (Wed.).
- Please only submit Implementation.scala file to <u>Blackboard</u>.

Next Lecture



36 / 36

Type Systems

Jihyeok Park jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr https://plrg.korea.ac.kr