

Lecture 21 – Algebraic Data Types (1)

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2026 Fall

- TFAE – FAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- TRFAE – RFAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics

- TFAE – FAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- TRFAE – RFAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- Let's learn **algebraic data types (ADTs)** and **pattern matching**!

- TFAE – FAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- TRFAE – RFAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- Let's learn **algebraic data types (ADTs)** and **pattern matching**!
- ATFAE – TRFAE with **ADTs** and **pattern matching**.
 - **Interpreter** and **Natural Semantics**
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**

- TFAE – FAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- TRFAE – RFAE with **type system**.
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics
- Let's learn **algebraic data types (ADTs)** and **pattern matching**!
- ATFAE – TRFAE with **ADTs** and **pattern matching**.
 - **Interpreter** and **Natural Semantics**
 - **Type Checker** and **Typing Rules**
- In this lecture, we will focus on **Interpreter** and **Natural Semantics**.

1. Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) and Pattern Matching

- Recall: Types

- Product Types

- Union Types

- Sum Types

- Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

- Pattern Matching

2. ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

- Concrete Syntax

- Abstract Syntax

3. Interpreter and Natural Semantics for ATFAE

- Algebraic Data Types

- Function Application

- Pattern Matching

- Examples

1. Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) and Pattern Matching

Recall: Types

Product Types

Union Types

Sum Types

Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

Pattern Matching

2. ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

Concrete Syntax

Abstract Syntax

3. Interpreter and Natural Semantics for ATFAE

Algebraic Data Types

Function Application

Pattern Matching

Examples

Definition (Types)

A **type** is a set of values.

For example, the `Int`, `Boolean`, and `Int => Int` types are defined as the following sets of values in Scala.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Int} &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -2^{31} \leq n < 2^{31}\} \\ \text{Boolean} &= \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \\ \text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Int} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is a function from Int to Int}\}\end{aligned}$$

```
val n: Int = 42           // 42    : Int
val b: Boolean = n > 10    // true   : Boolean
def f(x: Int): Int = x + 1 // f     : Int => Int
f(42)                   // 43     : Int
```


Definition (Types)

A **type** is a set of values.

For example, the `Int`, `Boolean`, and `Int => Int` types are defined as the following sets of values in Scala.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Int} &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -2^{31} \leq n < 2^{31}\} \\ \text{Boolean} &= \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \\ \text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Int} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is a function from Int to Int}\}\end{aligned}$$

```
val n: Int = 42           // 42    : Int
val b: Boolean = n > 10    // true  : Boolean
def f(x: Int): Int = x + 1 // f     : Int => Int
f(42)                   // 43    : Int
```

Is it possible to define a **new type** by **combining** existing types?

Definition (Types)

A **type** is a set of values.

For example, the `Int`, `Boolean`, and `Int => Int` types are defined as the following sets of values in Scala.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Int} &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -2^{31} \leq n < 2^{31}\} \\ \text{Boolean} &= \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \\ \text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Int} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is a function from Int to Int}\}\end{aligned}$$

```
val n: Int = 42           // 42    : Int
val b: Boolean = n > 10    // true  : Boolean
def f(x: Int): Int = x + 1 // f     : Int => Int
f(42)                   // 43    : Int
```

Is it possible to define a **new type** by **combining** existing types? **Yes!**

Definition (Types)

A **type** is a set of values.

For example, the `Int`, `Boolean`, and `Int => Int` types are defined as the following sets of values in Scala.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Int} &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -2^{31} \leq n < 2^{31}\} \\ \text{Boolean} &= \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \\ \text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Int} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is a function from Int to Int}\}\end{aligned}$$

```
val n: Int = 42           // 42    : Int
val b: Boolean = n > 10    // true  : Boolean
def f(x: Int): Int = x + 1 // f     : Int => Int
f(42)                   // 43    : Int
```

Is it possible to define a **new type** by **combining** existing types? **Yes!**

Product Types, Union Types, Sum Types, and Algebraic Data Types!

Definition (Product Types)

A **product type** $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is a set of values of the form $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where τ_i is the type of v_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition (Product Types)

A **product type** $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is a set of values of the form $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where τ_i is the type of v_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

It corresponds to the **Cartesian product** of sets:

$$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$$

Definition (Product Types)

A **product type** $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is a set of values of the form $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where τ_i is the type of v_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

It corresponds to the **Cartesian product** of sets:

$$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$$

For example, we can define product types in Scala as follows:

```
// A product type consisting of three different types
val triple: (Int, Boolean, String) = (42, true, "abc")

// A rectangle type with its width and height
type Rectangle = (Int, Int)
val rectangle: Rectangle = (10, 20)
val (w, h) = rectangle
val perimeter: Int = 2 * (w + h)           // 2 * (10 + 20) = 60
```

Definition (Union Types)

A **union type** $\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n$ is a set of values whose type is one of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

Definition (Union Types)

A **union type** $\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n$ is a set of values whose type is one of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

It corresponds to the **union** of sets:

$$\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n = \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_n$$

Definition (Union Types)

A **union type** $\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n$ is a set of values whose type is one of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

It corresponds to the **union** of sets:

$$\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n = \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_n$$

For example, we can define union types in Scala as follows:

```
val a: Int | Boolean | String = 42
val b: Int | Boolean | String = true
val c: Int | Boolean | String = "abc"

type Square = Int           // A square type
type Triangle = Int         // A equilateral triangle type
val x: Square | Triangle = 42 // Is this a square or a triangle?
```

Definition (Union Types)

A **union type** $\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n$ is a set of values whose type is one of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

It corresponds to the **union** of sets:

$$\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n = \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_n$$

For example, we can define union types in Scala as follows:

```
val a: Int | Boolean | String = 42
val b: Int | Boolean | String = true
val c: Int | Boolean | String = "abc"

type Square = Int           // A square type
type Triangle = Int         // A equilateral triangle type
val x: Square | Triangle = 42 // Is this a square or a triangle?
```

How can we **discriminate** between a square and a triangle?

Definition (Union Types)

A **union type** $\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n$ is a set of values whose type is one of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

It corresponds to the **union** of sets:

$$\tau_1 \mid \dots \mid \tau_n = \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_n$$

For example, we can define union types in Scala as follows:

```
val a: Int | Boolean | String = 42
val b: Int | Boolean | String = true
val c: Int | Boolean | String = "abc"

type Square = Int           // A square type
type Triangle = Int         // A equilateral triangle type
val x: Square | Triangle = 42 // Is this a square or a triangle?
```

How can we **discriminate** between a square and a triangle? **Sum types!**

Definition (Sum Types)

A **sum type** $x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n)$ consists of **variants** $x_i(\tau_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each variant $x_i(\tau_i)$, x_i is the **constructor**, a function that takes a value v of type τ_i and generates a value $x_i(v)$ of the sum type.

Definition (Sum Types)

A **sum type** $x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n)$ consists of **variants** $x_i(\tau_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each variant $x_i(\tau_i)$, x_i is the **constructor**, a function that takes a value v of type τ_i and generates a value $x_i(v)$ of the sum type.

It corresponds to a **tagged union** of sets:

$$x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n) = \{x_i(v) \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq n. \text{ s.t. } v \in \tau_i\}$$

Definition (Sum Types)

A **sum type** $x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n)$ consists of **variants** $x_i(\tau_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each variant $x_i(\tau_i)$, x_i is the **constructor**, a function that takes a value v of type τ_i and generates a value $x_i(v)$ of the sum type.

It corresponds to a **tagged union** of sets:

$$x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n) = \{x_i(v) \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq n. \text{ s.t. } v \in \tau_i\}$$

For example, we can define **sum types** in Scala as follows:

```
case class Square(side: Int)
case class Triangle(side: Int)
type Shape = Square | Triangle
val x: Shape = Square(42)      // It is a square
val y: Shape = Triangle(42)    // It is a triangle
```

Now, we can **discriminate** between a square and a triangle!

Definition (Sum Types)

A **sum type** $x_1(\tau_1) + \dots + x_n(\tau_n)$ consists of **variants** $x_i(\tau_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each variant $x_i(\tau_i)$, x_i is the **constructor**, a function that takes a value v of type τ_i and generates a value $x_i(v)$ of the sum type.

```
case class Square(side: Int)           // A variant for squares
case class Triangle(side: Int)         // A variant for triangles
type Shape = Square | Triangle
val x: Square | Triangle = Square(42)  // It is a square
val y: Square | Triangle = Triangle(42) // It is a triangle

// `Square` is a constructor that takes an `Int` and generates a `Shape`
Square: Int => Shape

// `Square(42)` is a `Shape` value generated by `Square` constructor
Square(42): Shape
```

Definition (Algebraic Data Types (ADTs))


An **algebraic data type** $x_1(\tau_{1,1}, \dots, \tau_{1,m_1}) + \dots + x_n(\tau_{n,1}, \dots, \tau_{n,m_n})$ is a **recursive sum type** of **product types**.

Definition (Algebraic Data Types (ADTs))

An **algebraic data type** $x_1(\tau_{1,1}, \dots, \tau_{1,m_1}) + \dots + x_n(\tau_{n,1}, \dots, \tau_{n,m_n})$ is a **recursive sum type** of **product types**.

For example, we can define **algebraic data type** for trees in Scala:

```
enum Tree:  
  case Leaf(v: Int)  
  case Node(l: Tree, v: Int, r: Tree)  
  
val t1: Tree = Node(Leaf(1), 2, Leaf(3))  
val t2: Tree = Node(Leaf(1), 2, Node(Leaf(3), 4, Leaf(5)))
```



Definition (Pattern matching)

We can use **pattern matching** for algebraic data types to identify which variant of the sum type a value belongs to and extract the data it contains.

Definition (Pattern matching)

We can use **pattern matching** for algebraic data types to identify which variant of the sum type a value belongs to and extract the data it contains.

For example, we can define a function `sum` that sums all the values in a tree using pattern matching (`match`) on the `Tree` type in Scala:

```
enum Tree:
  case Leaf(v: Int)
  case Node(l: Tree, v: Int, r: Tree)

def sum(t: Tree): Int = t match
  case Leaf(v)          => v
  case Node(l, v, r) => sum(l) + v + sum(r)

sum(Node(Leaf(1), 2, Leaf(3)))           // 6
sum(Node(Leaf(1), 2, Node(Leaf(3), 4, Leaf(5)))) // 15
```

Many functional languages support **algebraic data types**:

- Scala

```
enum Tree { case Leaf(v:Int); case Node(l:Tree, v:Int, r:Tree) }
```

- Haskell

```
data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Int Tree
```

- Rust

```
enum Tree { Leaf(i32), Node(Box<Tree>, i32, Box<Tree>) }
```

- OCaml

```
type tree = Leaf of int | Node of tree * int * tree
```

- ...

1. Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) and Pattern Matching

Recall: Types

Product Types

Union Types

Sum Types

Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

Pattern Matching

2. ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

Concrete Syntax

Abstract Syntax

3. Interpreter and Natural Semantics for ATFAE

Algebraic Data Types

Function Application

Pattern Matching

Examples

ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

Now, let's extend TRFAE into ATFAE to support **algebraic data types** and **pattern matching**. (Assume that TRFAE supports multiple arguments for functions.)

```
/* ATFAE */  
enum Tree {  
  case Leaf(Number)  
  case Node(Tree, Number, Tree)  
}  
Leaf(42) match {  
  case Leaf(v)      => v  
  case Node(l, v, r) => v  
}
```

For ATFAE, we need to extend **expressions** of TRFAE with

- ① algebraic data types (ADTs)
- ② pattern matching
- ③ type names

For ATFAE, we need to extend **expressions** of TRFAE with

- ① **algebraic data types (ADTs)**
- ② **pattern matching**
- ③ **type names**

For ATFAE, we need to extend **expressions** of TRFAE with

- 1 algebraic data types (ADTs)
- 2 pattern matching
- 3 type names

We can extend the **concrete syntax** of TRFAE as follows:

```
// expressions
<expr> ::= ...
    | "enum" <id> "{" [ <variant> ";"? ]+ "}" ";"? <expr>
    | <expr> "match" "{" [ <mcase> ";"? ]+ "}"

// variants
<variant> ::= "case" <id> "(" " )"
    | "case" <id> "(" <type> [ ", " <type> ]* ")"

// match cases
<mcase> ::= "case" <id> "(" " )" "=" <expr>
    | "case" <id> "(" <id> [ ", " <id> ]* ")" "=" <expr>

// types
<type> ::= ... | <id>           // type names
```


Expressions	$\mathbb{E} \ni e ::= \dots$	
	$\quad \mid \text{enum } t \{ [\text{case } x(\tau^*)]^+ \}; e$	(TypeDef)
	$\quad \mid e \text{ match } \{ [\text{case } x(x^*) \Rightarrow e]^+ \}$	(Match)
Types	$\mathbb{T} \ni \tau ::= \dots$	
	$\quad \mid t$	(NameT)
Type Names	$t \in \mathbb{X}_t$	(String)

```
enum Expr:
  ...
  case TypeDef(name: String, varts: List[Variant], body: Expr)
  case Match(expr: Expr, mcases: List[MatchCase])

case class Variant(name: String, ptys: List[Type])
case class MatchCase(name: String, params: List[String], body: Expr)

enum Type:
  ...
  case NameT(name: String)
```

```
/* ATFAE */  
enum Tree {  
  case Leaf(Number)  
  case Node(Tree, Number, Tree)  
}  
Leaf(42) match {  
  case Leaf(v)      => v  
  case Node(l, v, r) => v  
}
```

will be parsed to the following abstract syntax tree (AST) in Scala:

```
TypeDef("Tree", List(  
  Variant("Leaf", List(NumT)),  
  Variant("Node", List(NameT("Tree"), NumT, NameT("Tree")))  
),  
Match(App(Id("Leaf"), List(Num(42))), List(  
  MatchCase("Leaf", List("v"), Id("v")),  
  MatchCase("Node", List("l", "v", "r"), Id("v")))))
```

1. Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) and Pattern Matching

Recall: Types

Product Types

Union Types

Sum Types

Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

Pattern Matching

2. ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

Concrete Syntax

Abstract Syntax

3. Interpreter and Natural Semantics for ATFAE

Algebraic Data Types

Function Application

Pattern Matching

Examples

For ATFAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter** with environments:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = ???
```

and 2) define the **natural semantics** with environments:

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

For ATFAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter** with environments:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = ???
```

and 2) define the **natural semantics** with environments:

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

with a new kind of values called **constructor values** and **variant values**:

Values	$\mathbb{V} \ni v ::= n$	(NumV)	$\langle x \rangle$	(ConstrV)
	b	(BoolV)	$x(v^*)$	(VariantV)
	$\langle \lambda(x, \dots, x).e, \sigma \rangle$	(CloV)		

```
enum Value:
  ...
  case ConstrV(name: String)
  case VariantV(name: String, values: List[Value])
```

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
...
case TypeDef(_, ws, body) =>
  interp(body, env ++ ws.map(w => w.name -> ConstrV(w.name)))
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\text{TypeDef} \frac{\sigma[x_1 \mapsto \langle x_1 \rangle, \dots, x_n \mapsto \langle x_n \rangle] \vdash e \Rightarrow v}{\sigma \vdash \text{enum } t \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } x_1(\tau_{1,1}, \dots, \tau_{1,m_1}) \\ \dots \\ \text{case } x_n(\tau_{n,1}, \dots, \tau_{n,m_n}) \end{array} \right\}; e \Rightarrow v}$$

```
/* ATFAE */
enum Tree { case Leaf(Number); case Node(Tree, Number, Tree) }
Leaf(42) match { case Leaf(v) => v; case Node(l, v, r) => v }
```

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
...
case App(f, es) => interp(f, env) match
  case CloV(ps, b, fenv) => ...
  case ConstrV(name) => VariantV(name, es.map(interp(_, env)))
  case v                => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\text{App}_{\langle - \rangle} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle \quad \sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad \dots \quad \sigma \vdash e_n \Rightarrow v_n}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Rightarrow x(v_1, \dots, v_n)}$$

```
/* ATFAE */
enum Tree { case Leaf(Number); case Node(Tree, Number, Tree) }
Leaf(42) match { case Leaf(v) => v; case Node(l, v, r) => v }
```

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
...
case Match(expr, cases) => interp(expr, env) match
  case VariantV(wname, vs) => cases.find(_.name == wname) match
    case Some(MatchCase(_, ps, b)) =>
      if (ps.length != vs.length) error("arity mismatch")
      interp(b, env ++ (ps zip vs))
    case None => error(s"no such case: $wname")
  case v => error(s"not a variant: ${v.str}")
```

$$\boxed{\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\text{Match} \frac{1 \leq i \leq n \quad \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow x_i(v_1, \dots, v_{m_i}) \quad \forall j < i. x_j \neq x_i \quad \sigma[x_{i,1} \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_{i,m_i} \mapsto v_{m_i}] \vdash e_i \Rightarrow v}{\sigma \vdash e \text{ match } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } x_1(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,m_1}) \Rightarrow e_1 \\ \dots \\ \text{case } x_n(x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,m_n}) \Rightarrow e_n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow v}$$

There exists an **order** between the match cases: **first match wins!**

$$\begin{array}{c}
 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow x_i(v_1, \dots, v_{m_i}) \quad \forall j < i. x_j \neq x_i \\
 \text{Match} \frac{\sigma[x_{i,1} \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_{i,m_i} \mapsto v_{m_i}] \vdash e_i \Rightarrow v}{\sigma \vdash e \text{ match } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } x_1(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,m_1}) \Rightarrow e_1 \\ \dots \\ \text{case } x_n(x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,m_n}) \Rightarrow e_n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow v}
 \end{array}$$

```

/* ATFAE */
enum Tree {
  case Leaf(Number)
  case Node(Tree, Number, Tree)
}
def f(t: Tree): Number = t match {
  case Leaf(v)          => v
  case Leaf(v)          => v + 1      // ignored
  case Node(l, v, r)    => v
  case Node(l, v, r)    => v + 1      // ignored
}; ...

```

Example 1

```
/* ATFAE */
enum A { case B(Boolean); case C(Number) }
C(42) match { case B(b) => b; case C(n) => n < 0 }
```

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Id } \frac{C \in \text{dom}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1 \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle C \rangle} \quad \text{Num } \frac{}{\sigma_1 \vdash 42 \Rightarrow 42} \qquad \text{Id } \frac{n \in \text{dom}(\sigma_2)}{\sigma_2 \vdash n \Rightarrow 42} \quad \text{Num } \frac{}{\sigma_2 \vdash 0 \Rightarrow 0} \\
 \text{App}_{\langle - \rangle} \frac{}{\sigma_1 \vdash C(42) \Rightarrow C(42)} \qquad \text{Lt } \frac{}{\sigma_2 \vdash n < 0 \Rightarrow \text{false}} \\
 \text{Match } \frac{}{\sigma_1 \vdash C(42) \text{ match } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } B(b) \Rightarrow b \\ \text{case } C(n) \Rightarrow n < 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{false}} \\
 \text{TypeDef } \frac{}{\emptyset \vdash \text{enum } A \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } B(\text{bool}) \\ \text{case } C(\text{num}) \end{array} \right\}; C(42) \text{ match } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{case } B(b) \Rightarrow b \\ \text{case } C(n) \Rightarrow n < 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{false}}
 \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1 &= [B \mapsto \langle B \rangle, C \mapsto \langle C \rangle] \\
 \sigma_2 &= \sigma_1[n \mapsto 42]
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2

In **TFAE**, we cannot define `mkRec` because of the lack of **recursive types** in the language:

```
/* TFAE */

val mkRec = (body: (Number => Number) => Number => Number) => {
  val fX = (fY: ???) => {
    val f = (x: Number) => fY(fY)(x);
    body(f)
  };
  fX(fX)
};

val sum = mkRec((sum: Number => Number) => (n: Number) =>
  if (n < 1) 0
  else n + sum(n + -1));

sum(10)
```

Example 2

Now, we can define `mkRec` in **ATFAE** because **algebraic data types** are **recursive types**:

```
/* ATFAE */
enum T { case T(T => Number => Number) }
val mkRec = (body: (Number => Number) => Number => Number) => {
  val fX = (fY: T) => {
    val f = (x: Number) => fY match { case T(fZ) => fZ(fY)(x) };
    body(f)
  };
  fX(T(fX))
};
val sum = mkRec((sum: Number => Number) => (n: Number) =>
  if (n < 1) 0
  else n + sum(n + -1));
sum(10)
```

Example 3

We can define abstract syntax of AE using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */  
enum Expr {  
    case Num(Number)  
    case Add(Expr, Expr)  
    case Mul(Expr, Expr)  
}  
Add(Num(1), Mul(Num(2), Num(3)))      // 1 + 2 * 3
```

Example 3

We can define abstract syntax of AE using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */  
enum Expr {  
  case Num(Number)  
  case Add(Expr, Expr)  
  case Mul(Expr, Expr)  
}  
Add(Num(1), Mul(Num(2), Num(3)))           // 1 + 2 * 3
```

We can define list type as well using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */  
enum NumList {  
  case Nil()  
  case Cons(Number, NumList)  
}  
Cons(1, Cons(2, Cons(3, Nil())))           // list of 1, 2, and 3
```

Example 3

We can define abstract syntax of AE using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */
enum Expr {
  case Num(Number)
  case Add(Expr, Expr)
  case Mul(Expr, Expr)
}
Add(Num(1), Mul(Num(2), Num(3)))           // 1 + 2 * 3
```

We can define list type as well using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */
enum NumList {
  case Nil()
  case Cons(Number, NumList)
}
Cons(1, Cons(2, Cons(3, Nil())))           // list of 1, 2, and 3
```

However, it only works for **monomorphic** lists (i.e., lists of numbers)

Example 3

We can define abstract syntax of AE using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */
enum Expr {
  case Num(Number)
  case Add(Expr, Expr)
  case Mul(Expr, Expr)
}
Add(Num(1), Mul(Num(2), Num(3)))           // 1 + 2 * 3
```

We can define list type as well using ADTs in ATFAE:

```
/* ATFAE */
enum NumList {
  case Nil()
  case Cons(Number, NumList)
}
Cons(1, Cons(2, Cons(3, Nil())))           // list of 1, 2, and 3
```

However, it only works for **monomorphic** lists (i.e., lists of numbers) We will learn **parametric polymorphism** later in this course.

1. Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) and Pattern Matching

- Recall: Types

- Product Types

- Union Types

- Sum Types

- Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

- Pattern Matching

2. ATFAE – TRFAE with ADTs and Pattern Matching

- Concrete Syntax

- Abstract Syntax

3. Interpreter and Natural Semantics for ATFAE

- Algebraic Data Types

- Function Application

- Pattern Matching

- Examples

- Algebraic Data Types (2)

Jihyeok Park

jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr

<https://plrg.korea.ac.kr>