Lecture 7 – Equivalence of Regular Expressions and Finite Automata

COSE215: Theory of Computation

Jihyeok Park

PLRG

2025 Spring

Recall

- Regular Expressions
  - Operations in languages
  - Definition
  - Precedence order
  - Language of regular expressions
  - Extended regular expressions
  - Examples

- Regular Expressions in Practice

Equivalence of REs and FA

$\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$

$L(\text{DFA}) = L(\text{NFA})$
$= L(\text{RE}) = L(\epsilon\text{-NFA})$

$\texttt{a}^n\texttt{b}^n$

$w\texttt{aa}$

$\texttt{a}^n\texttt{b}$

$\{w \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$

NFA ϵ-NFA

DFA $\longrightarrow$ RE

Contents

Contents

Theorem (Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA)

For a given regular expression R, $\exists$ ϵ-NFA N^ϵ. $L(R) = L(N^\epsilon)$.

ϵ-NFA N^ϵ

$(a|b)^*aa$ ➡️

Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA

For a given regular expression R and an integer i, we will construct an ϵ-NFA $N^\epsilon = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_i, F)$ that accepts the language of R.

It satisfies the following properties:

- States are q_i, q_{i+1}, $\cdots$, and q_j ($Q = \{q_k \mid i \leq k \leq j\}$)
- The last state is the unique final state ($F = \{q_j\}$)
- No transition to the initial state ($\forall q \in Q.\ \forall a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}.\ q_i \notin \delta(q, a)$)
- No transition from the final state ($\forall a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}.\ \delta(q_j, a) = \varnothing$)

ϵ-NFA for $(R,\ i)$

Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA

PLRG

For a given regular expression R and an integer i, the ϵ-NFA for (R, i) is:

- $R = \varnothing$:

$$\text{start} \longrightarrow q_i \qquad \boxed{q_{i+1}}$$

- $R = \epsilon$:

$$\text{start} \longrightarrow q_i \xrightarrow{\epsilon} q_{i+1}$$

- $R = \mathtt{a}$:

$$\text{start} \longrightarrow q_i \xrightarrow{\mathtt{a}} q_{i+1}$$

Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA

- $R = R_1 \mid R_2$:

Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA

- $R = R_1 \ R_2$:

PLRG

- $R = R_1^*$:

- $R = \epsilon a \mid \varnothing$

Regular Expressions to ϵ-NFA – Examples

⚛ PLRG

- $R = 01^*$

◆PLRG

- $R = (\texttt{a|b})^*\texttt{aa}$

Contents

DFA to Regular Expressions

Theorem (DFA to Regular Expressions)

For a given DFA $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F)$, $\exists$ RE R. $L(D) = L(R)$.

We will learn two different way to convert a DFA to a regular expression.

1. **Inductive Construction** of **Regular Expressions** for paths in a DFA with bounded intermediate states where $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n\}$.

2. **State Elimination Method** in an extended DFA using regular expressions as labels.

Inductive Construction of Regular Expressions

Let $R_{i,j}^{(k)}$ be the **regular expression** that accepts the **paths** from q_i to q_j whose indices of the **intermediate** states are **bounded** by k.

For example, $R_{1,3}^{(2)}$ is the regular expression that accepts the paths from q_1 to q_3 whose intermediate states are q_1 and q_2.

$$L(R_{1,3}^{(2)}) \quad \ni \quad \text{b} \quad \text{a a} \quad \text{a b a a}$$

$$\not\ni \quad \text{a} \quad \text{b a} \quad \text{a a b}$$

Inductive Construction of Regular Expressions

We can **inductively construct** regular expressions $R_{i,j}^{(k)}$ for all combination of i, j, and k (induction on k).

- **(Basis Case)** $k = 0$

  It means that **no intermediate states** in the path.

  - If $i \neq j$ (source and destination states are different),

  $$R_{i,j}^{(0)} = \mathrm{a_1} \,|\, \mathrm{a_2} \,|\, \cdots \,|\, \mathrm{a_m}$$

  where $q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_1}} q_j, q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_2}} q_j, \cdots, q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_m}} q_j$ are transitions in D.

  - If $i = j$ (source and destination states are same),

  $$R_{i,j}^{(0)} = R_{i,i}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathrm{a_1} \,|\, \mathrm{a_2} \,|\, \cdots \,|\, \mathrm{a_m}$$

  where $q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_1}} q_i, q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_2}} q_i, \cdots, q_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a_m}} q_i$ are transitions in D.

Inductive Construction of Regular Expressions ◆PLRG

- **(Induction Case)** $R_{i,j}^{(k-1)}$ are given for all i and j.

$$R_{i,j}^{(k)} = R_{i,j}^{(k-1)} \mid R_{i,k}^{(k-1)} (R_{k,k}^{(k-1)})^* R_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$$

  - $R_{i,j}^{(k-1)}$: paths from q_i to q_j **NOT** containing q_k as intermediate states.

  - $R_{i,k}^{(k-1)} (R_{k,k}^{(k-1)})^* R_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$: paths from q_i to q_j containing q_k at least once as intermediate states.

Consider the following DFA:

$$D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F)$$

where $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n\}$ and $F = \{q_{f_1}, q_{f_2}, \cdots, q_{f_m}\}$.

Then, using the regular expressions $R_{i,j}^{(k)}$ with bounded intermediate states, we can construct the regular expression R that accepts the language of the DFA D as follows:

$$R = R_{1,f_1}^{(n)} \mid R_{1,f_2}^{(n)} \mid \cdots \mid R_{1,f_m}^{(n)}$$

The regular expression R accepts all the paths from the **initial state** q_1 to one of the **final states** q_{f_1}, q_{f_2}, $\cdots$, q_{f_m} but **no bound** on the intermediate states (because $k = n$).

Examples

Let's construct the regular expressions $R_{i,j}^{(k)}$ for the following DFA:

When $k = 0$, we have:

- $R_{1,1}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{b}$

- $R_{1,2}^{(0)} = \mathtt{a}$

- $R_{2,1}^{(0)} = \varnothing$

- $R_{2,2}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b}$

When $k = 0$, we have:

- $R_{1,1}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{b}$
- $R_{1,2}^{(0)} = \mathtt{a}$
- $R_{2,1}^{(0)} = \varnothing$
- $R_{2,2}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b}$

When $k = 1$, we have:

- $R_{1,1}^{(1)} = R_{1,1}^{(0)} \,|\, R_{1,1}^{(0)} (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^* R_{1,1}^{(0)} = (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^+ = (\epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{b})^+ = \mathtt{b}^*$

- $R_{1,2}^{(1)} = R_{1,2}^{(0)} \,|\, R_{1,1}^{(0)} (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^* R_{1,2}^{(0)} = (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^* R_{1,2}^{(0)} = (\epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{b})^* \mathtt{a} = \mathtt{b}^* \mathtt{a}$

- $R_{2,1}^{(1)} = R_{2,1}^{(0)} \,|\, R_{2,1}^{(0)} (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^* R_{1,1}^{(0)} = \varnothing \,|\, \varnothing = \varnothing$

- $R_{2,2}^{(1)} = R_{2,2}^{(0)} \,|\, R_{2,1}^{(0)} (R_{1,1}^{(0)})^* R_{1,2}^{(0)} = R_{2,2}^{(0)} \,|\, \varnothing = R_{2,2}^{(0)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b}$

When $k = 1$, we have:

- $R_{1,1}^{(1)} = \mathtt{b}^*$ • $R_{1,2}^{(1)} = \mathtt{b}^*\mathtt{a}$ • $R_{2,1}^{(1)} = \varnothing$ • $R_{2,2}^{(1)} = \epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b}$

Let's focus on the regular expression for the language of the DFA.

We need to compute $R_{1,2}^{(2)}$ using the results when $k = 1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bullet \; R_{1,2}^{(2)} &= R_{1,2}^{(1)} \,|\, R_{1,2}^{(1)}(R_{2,2}^{(1)})^* R_{2,2}^{(1)} = R_{1,2}^{(1)}(R_{2,2}^{(1)})^* \\[2mm]
&= \mathtt{b}^*\mathtt{a}(\epsilon \,|\, \mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b})^* \\[2mm]
&= \mathtt{b}^*\mathtt{a}(\mathtt{a} \,|\, \mathtt{b})^* \qquad \text{(the regular expression for the above DFA)}
\end{aligned}
$$

◆ PLRG

- There is another way to convert a DFA to a regular expression.

- It is **more intuitive** and easier to understand but **not easy to implement**.

- The idea is to **eliminate** the **states** of the DFA one by one and **construct the regular expressions**.

- We will assign constructed **regular expressions** instead of symbols as **labels** on the transitions between the states in the DFA.

OPLRG

We can convert a DFA to a regular expression using the following steps for **each final state** $q_f \in F$:

1. **Merge** symbols a_1, a_2, $\cdots$, a_m on the transition from q_i to q_j into a single regular expression $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \cdots \mid a_m$.

2. **Eliminate** a state q that is **not** the **initial** state or the **target final** state using the following mechanism:

State Elimination Method

3. **Construct** regular expressions for the remaining one or two states using the regular expressions on the transitions between the states.

R^*

$(R_1 \mid R_2 R_3^* R_4)^* R_2 R_3^*$

Example 1

PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Example 1

PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

The regular expression for the above DFA is:

$$b^*a(a|b)^*$$

Example 2

The following DFA accepts $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$ where $\mathbb{N}(w)$ is the natural number represented by w in binary:

## Example 2

The following DFA accepts $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$ where $\mathbb{N}(w)$ is the natural number represented by w in binary:

Example 2

◭PLRG

The following DFA accepts $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$ where $\mathbb{N}(w)$ is the natural number represented by w in binary:

Example 2

The following DFA accepts $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$ where $\mathbb{N}(w)$ is the natural number represented by w in binary:

$$0|1(01^*0)^*1$$

start $\longrightarrow$ q_0

Then, the regular expression for the above DFA is:

$$(0|1(01^*0)^*1)^*$$

Example 3

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

We need to consider two final states q_2 and q_4.

Let's start by eliminating non-initial and non-final states.

Example 3

PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Example 3

◆PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Example 3

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Now, we know that the regular expression for the **final state** q_4 is:

$$baa^*$$

Example 3

PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:


Now, we know that the regular expression for the **final state** q_4 is:

$$baa^*$$

Let's keep eliminating q_4 to know the regular expression for q_2.

Example 3   🔺PLRG

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Now, we know that the regular expression for the **final state** q_2 is:

$$(aa^*b|bb|baa^*b)(a|b)^*$$

Example 3

Let's convert the following DFA to a regular expression using the **state elimination** method:

Finally, we have the regular expression for the above DFA:

$$(aa^*b|bb|baa^*b)(a|b)^*|baa^*$$

Note that $(aa^*b|bb|baa^*b)(a|b)^*$ is for q_2 and baa^* is for q_4.

$\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$

$L(\text{DFA}) = L(\text{NFA})$
$= L(\text{RE}) = L(\epsilon\text{-NFA})$

a^nb^n

$w\text{aa}$

a^nb

$\{w \mid \mathbb{N}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod 3\}$

NFA $\qquad$ ϵ-NFA

DFA $\longrightarrow$ RE

- Properties of Regular Languages

Jihyeok Park
jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr