Lecture 9 – Advanced Types SWS121: Secure Programming

Jihyeok Park

PLRG

2024 Spring

SWS121 @ Korea University

Lecture 9 - Advanced Types





- Generic Classes
- Generic Methods/Functions
- Type Bounds
- Variances
- Abstract Type Members
- Inner Classes

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types

Contents



1. Intersection and Union Types

- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



The & operator is used to create an **intersection type**.



The & operator is used to create an intersection type.

The type A & B represents values of **both** type A and B at the same time.



The & operator is used to create an intersection type.

The type A & B represents values of **both** type A and B at the same time.

For example, consider the following code:

```
trait A { def foo(x: Int): Int }
trait B { def bar(x: Int): Int }
def f(x: A & B): Int = x.foo(10) + x.bar(20)
```



The & operator is used to create an intersection type.

The type A & B represents values of **both** type A and B at the same time.

For example, consider the following code:

```
trait A { def foo(x: Int): Int }
trait B { def bar(x: Int): Int }
def f(x: A & B): Int = x.foo(10) + x.bar(20)
```

Since x is of type A & B, it can access both the foo in A and the bar in B.



The & operator is used to create an intersection type.

The type A & B represents values of **both** type A and B at the same time. For example, consider the following code:

```
trait A { def foo(x: Int): Int }
trait B { def bar(x: Int): Int }
def f(x: A & B): Int = x.foo(10) + x.bar(20)
```

Since x is of type A & B, it can access both the foo in A and the bar in B.

We can call f with an object that implements both A and B.

```
class C extends A with B:
    def foo(x: Int): Int = x + 1
    def bar(x: Int): Int = x + 2
f(new C) // (10 + 1) + (20 + 2) = 33
```



On the other hand, the | operator is used to create a **union type**.



On the other hand, the | operator is used to create a **union type**.

The type A | B represents values of **either** type A or B.



On the other hand, the | operator is used to create a **union type**.

The type A | B represents values of **either** type A or B.

For example, consider the following code:

```
case class Username(name: String)
case class Password(hash: String)
def getData(x: A | B): String = ???
```



On the other hand, the | operator is used to create a **union type**.

The type A | B represents values of **either** type A or B.

For example, consider the following code:

```
case class Username(name: String)
case class Password(hash: String)
def getData(x: A | B): String = x match
    case Username(name) => name
    case Password(hash) => hash
```

You can use pattern matching to extract the value from the union type.



On the other hand, the | operator is used to create a **union type**.

The type A | B represents values of **either** type A or B.

For example, consider the following code:

```
case class Username(name: String)
case class Password(hash: String)
def getData(x: A | B): String = x match
    case Username(name) => name
    case Password(hash) => hash
```

You can use **pattern matching** to extract the value from the union type.

We can call getData with either a Username or a Password.

```
getData(Username("alice")) // "alice"
getData(Password("x2ef3")) // "x2ef3"
```



The compiler assigns a **union type** to an expression only if such a type is explicitly declared.



The compiler assigns a **union type** to an expression only if such a type is explicitly declared.

For example, the following code does not infer a union type:

```
case class Username(name: String)
case class Password(hash: String)
val name = Username("alice") // name: Username = Username("alice")
val pass = Password("x2ef3") // pass: Password = Password("x2ef3")
```



The compiler assigns a **union type** to an expression only if such a type is explicitly declared.

For example, the following code does not infer a union type:

<pre>case class Username(name:</pre>	String)		
<pre>case class Password(hash:</pre>	String)		
<pre>val name = Username("alice</pre>	") // name:	Username =	Username("alice")
<pre>val pass = Password("x2ef3</pre>	") // pass:	Password =	Password("x2ef3")

The following code infers the Object type rather than a union type:

val x = if (true) name else pass
// x: Object = Username("alice")



The compiler assigns a **union type** to an expression only if such a type is explicitly declared.

For example, the following code does not infer a union type:

<pre>case class Username(name: Str</pre>	ring)
<pre>case class Password(hash: Str</pre>	ring)
<pre>val name = Username("alice")</pre>	<pre>// name: Username = Username("alice")</pre>
<pre>val pass = Password("x2ef3")</pre>	<pre>// pass: Password = Password("x2ef3")</pre>

The following code infers the Object type rather than a union type:

val x = if (true) name else pass
// x: Object = Username("alice")

To assign a union type to x, you need to explicitly declare it:

val x: Username | Password = if (true) name else pass
// x: Username | Password = Username("alice")

Union Types – Alternatives



Without union types, we can represent the same concept in two ways.

Union Types – Alternatives



Without union types, we can represent the same concept in two ways.

First, we can define a common supertype using a trait:

trait UsernameOrPassword case class Username(name: String) extends UsernameOrPassword case class Password(hash: String) extends UsernameOrPassword

Union Types – Alternatives



Without union types, we can represent the same concept in two ways.

First, we can define a common supertype using a trait:

trait UsernameOrPassword case class Username(name: String) extends UsernameOrPassword case class Password(hash: String) extends UsernameOrPassword

Second, we can use enum (algebraic data types, ADTs) to represent the union type because ADTs are tagged union (sum) types of product types:

enum UsernameOrPassword: case Username(name: String) case Password(hash: String)

To directly access the constructors for ADTs, you need to import them:

import UsernameOrPassword.*



Intersection and union types have the following properties:



Intersection and union types have the following properties:

• Commutativity for intersection and union types:

A & B \equiv B & A and A | B \equiv B | A

Intersection and union types have the following properties:

• Commutativity for intersection and union types:

A & B \equiv B & A and A | B \equiv B | A

• Associativity for intersection and union types:

A & (B & C) \equiv (A & B) & C and A | (B | C) \equiv (A | B) | C



Intersection and union types have the following properties:

• Commutativity for intersection and union types:

A & B \equiv B & A and A | B \equiv B | A

• Associativity for intersection and union types:

A & (B & C) \equiv (A & B) & C and A | (B | C) \equiv (A | B) | C

• **Distributivity** for intersection over union:

A | (B & C)
$$\equiv$$
 (A | B) & (A | C) and
A & (B | C) \equiv (A & B) | (A & C)



Intersection and union types have the following properties:

• Commutativity for intersection and union types:

A & B \equiv B & A and A | B \equiv B | A

Associativity for intersection and union types:

A & (B & C) \equiv (A & B) & C and A | (B | C) \equiv (A | B) | C

- **Distributivity** for intersection over union:
 - $\begin{array}{cccc} A & \mid & (B &\& & C) \equiv (A & \mid & B) &\& & (A & \mid & C) & \text{and} \\ A &\& & (B & \mid & C) \equiv (A &\& & B) & \mid & (A &\& & C) \end{array}$
- **Idempotence** for intersection and union types:

A & A \equiv A and A | A \equiv A



Intersection and union types have the following properties:

• Commutativity for intersection and union types:

A & B \equiv B & A and A | B \equiv B | A

• Associativity for intersection and union types:

A & (B & C) \equiv (A & B) & C and A | (B | C) \equiv (A | B) | C

- **Distributivity** for intersection over union:
 - A | (B & C) \equiv (A | B) & (A | C) and A & (B | C) \equiv (A & B) | (A & C)
- **Idempotence** for intersection and union types:

A & A \equiv A and A | A \equiv A

Intersection types have a higher precedence than union types:

A & B | $C \equiv (A \& B)$ | C



Contents



1. Intersection and Union Types

- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



Self-types are a way to declare that a trait must be mixed into another trait, and they **narrow** the type of **this** to be the type of mixed-in trait.



Self-types are a way to declare that a trait must be mixed into another trait, and they **narrow** the type of **this** to be the type of mixed-in trait.

For example, Tweeter trait has User as a self-type:

```
trait User { def username: String }
trait Tweeter { this: User =>
   def tweet(msg: String): Unit = println(s"$msg by $username")
}
class VerifiedTweeter(val username: String) extends User with Tweeter
```



Self-types are a way to declare that a trait must be mixed into another trait, and they **narrow** the type of **this** to be the type of mixed-in trait.

For example, Tweeter trait has User as a self-type:

```
trait User { def username: String }
trait Tweeter { this: User =>
   def tweet(msg: String): Unit = println(s"$msg by $username")
}
class VerifiedTweeter(val username: String) extends User with Tweeter
```

It means that we need to mix in User when we mix in Tweeter.

```
// error: illegal inheritance
case class InvalidTweeter(val username: String) extends Tweeter
// OK
case class ValidTweeter(val username: String) extends Tweeter with User
ValidTweeter("alice").tweet("Hello") // "Hello by alice"
```



We can use any other name instead of this for the self-type:

trait Tweeter { self: User => ... }



We can use any other name instead of this for the self-type:

trait Tweeter { self: User => ... }

If we omit its type annotation, it does not restrict the type of this:

trait Tweeter { self => ... } // self: Tweeter



We can use any other name instead of this for the self-type:

```
trait Tweeter { self: User => ... }
```

If we omit its type annotation, it does not restrict the type of this:

trait Tweeter { self => ... } // self: Tweeter

We can refer to this of the outer class in the inner class using self-types.

```
case class A { self =>
  val name = "Alice"
  case class B {
    val name = self.name
    def printName: Unit =
        println(s"Inner name: ${name}")
        println(s"Inner name: ${this.name}")
        println(s"Outer name: ${self.name}")
    }
}
```

Self Types - Dependency Injection



Self-types are useful for **dependency injection** and it is often called the **cake pattern** in Scala.

```
trait UserTrait { def name: String }
// TweeterTrait depends on UserTrait without real implementation
trait TweeterTrait { this: UserTrait =>
    def tweet(msg: String): Unit = println(s"$msg by $name")
}
```

Self Types - Dependency Injection



Self-types are useful for **dependency injection** and it is often called the **cake pattern** in Scala.

```
trait UserTrait { def name: String }
// TweeterTrait depends on UserTrait without real implementation
trait TweeterTrait { this: UserTrait =>
    def tweet(msg: String): Unit = println(s"$msg by $name")
}
```

We can mix in different implementations of UserTrait to TweeterTrait.

```
trait UserImpl1 extends UserTrait { val name = "Alice" }
object TweeterImpl1 extends TweeterTrait with UserImpl1
TweeterImpl1.tweet("Hello") // "Hello by Alice"
```

```
trait UserImpl2 extends UserTrait { val name = "Bob" }
object TweeterImpl2 extends TweeterTrait with UserImpl2
TweeterImpl2.tweet("Hi") // "Hi by Bob"
```


Why we need self-types rather than inheritance?



Why we need self-types rather than inheritance?

More fine-grained control over the encapsulation of the implementation.



Why we need self-types rather than inheritance?

More fine-grained control over the encapsulation of the implementation.

For example, assume that we want to share the ${\tt f}$ method in A with B but not with C.



Why we need self-types rather than inheritance?

More fine-grained control over the encapsulation of the implementation.

For example, assume that we want to share the f method in A with B but not with C.

We can use **self-types** to achieve this:

trait A { def f: Int }
trait B { self: A => def g: Int = f }
trait C extends B { def h: Int = f } // error: f is not accessible



Why we need self-types rather than inheritance?

More fine-grained control over the encapsulation of the implementation.

For example, assume that we want to share the f method in A with B but not with C.

We can use **self-types** to achieve this:

```
trait A { def f: Int }
trait B { self: A => def g: Int = f }
trait C extends B { def h: Int = f } // error: f is not accessible
```

However, we cannot achieve the same with **inheritance**:

```
trait A { def f: Int }
trait B extends A { def g: Int = f }
trait C extends B { def h: Int = f } // No error -- breaks encapsulation
```

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



Let us assume we want to define a module that offers arithmetic on numbers, which are represented by their logarithm.



Let us assume we want to define a module that offers arithmetic on numbers, which are represented by their logarithm.

We can define a class Logarithm with Double:

```
class Logarithm(val underlying: Double):
  def toDouble: Double = math.exp(underlying)
  def + (that: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    Logarithm(this.toDouble + that.toDouble)
  def * (that: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    new Logarithm(this.underlying + that.underlying)
  object Logarithm:
    def apply(d: Double): Logarithm = new Logarithm(math.log(d))
```

<pre>val x = Logarithm(2.0)</pre>	<pre>// x.underlying = log(2.0) = 0.693147</pre>
<pre>val y = Logarithm(3.0)</pre>	<pre>// y.underlying = log(3.0) = 1.098612</pre>
<pre>println((x + y).toDouble)</pre>	// 5.0
<pre>println((x * y).toDouble)</pre>	// 6.0



Let us assume we want to define a module that offers arithmetic on numbers, which are represented by their logarithm.

We can define a class Logarithm with Double:

```
class Logarithm(val underlying: Double):
  def toDouble: Double = math.exp(underlying)
  def + (that: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    Logarithm(this.toDouble + that.toDouble)
  def * (that: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    new Logarithm(this.underlying + that.underlying)
  object Logarithm:
    def apply(d: Double): Logarithm = new Logarithm(math.log(d))
```

val $x = Logarithm(2.0)$	<pre>// x.underlying = log(2.0) = 0.693147</pre>
<pre>val y = Logarithm(3.0)</pre>	<pre>// y.underlying = log(3.0) = 1.098612</pre>
<pre>println((x + y).toDouble)</pre>	// 5.0
<pre>println((x * y).toDouble)</pre>	// 6.0

However, it has unnecessary **performance overhead** because of the boxing and unboxing of Double values.

SWS121 @ Korea University

Lecture 9 - Advanced Types



We can use a type alias to remove the performance overhead:

```
object Logarithms:
  type Logarithm = Double
  def add(x: Logarithm, y: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    make(extract(x) + extract(y))
  def mul(x: Logarithm, y: Logarithm): Logarithm = x + y
  def make(d: Double): Logarithm = math.log(d)
  def extract(x: Logarithm): Double = math.exp(x)
```

```
import Logarithms.*
val x: Logarithm = make(2.0) // x = log(2.0) = 0.693147
val y: Logarithm = make(3.0) // y = log(3.0) = 1.098612
println(extract(add(x, y))) // 5.0
println(extract(mul(x, y))) // 6.0
```



We can use a type alias to remove the performance overhead:

```
object Logarithms:
  type Logarithm = Double
  def add(x: Logarithm, y: Logarithm): Logarithm =
    make(extract(x) + extract(y))
  def mul(x: Logarithm, y: Logarithm): Logarithm = x + y
  def make(d: Double): Logarithm = math.log(d)
  def extract(x: Logarithm): Double = math.exp(x)
```

```
import Logarithms.*
val x: Logarithm = make(2.0) // x = log(2.0) = 0.693147
val y: Logarithm = make(3.0) // y = log(3.0) = 1.098612
println(extract(add(x, y))) // 5.0
println(extract(mul(x, y))) // 6.0
```

However, it make the equality Logarithm = Double **visible** to the users, who might misuse it by **accidentally mixing** Logarithm and Double.

val d: Double = x // type checks AND leaks the equality!



We can use **opaque types** to hide the equality and still remove the performance overhead of boxing and unboxing Double values:

```
object Logarithms:
    opaque type Logarithm = Double
    ...
```

```
import Logarithms.*
val x: Logarithm = make(2.0) // x = log(2.0) = 0.693147
val y: Logarithm = make(3.0) // y = log(3.0) = 1.098612
println(extract(add(x, y))) // 5.0
println(extract(mul(x, y))) // 6.0
```



We can use **opaque types** to hide the equality and still remove the performance overhead of boxing and unboxing Double values:

```
object Logarithms:
    opaque type Logarithm = Double
    ...
```

import I	Logarithms.*	
val x: I	Logarithm = make(2.0)	// x = log(2.0) = 0.693147
val y: I	Logarithm = make(3.0)	// y = log(3.0) = 1.098612
<pre>println(extract(add(x, y)))</pre>		// 5.0
<pre>println(extract(mul(x, y)))</pre>		// 6.0

Now, the equality Logarithm = Double is **hidden** from the users and the type system prevents the misuse of Logarithm and Double.

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



Structural types specify that types must have a certain structure.



Structural types specify that types must have a certain structure.

It is often called **duck typing** because it is based on the principle that if it looks like a duck and **quacks** like a duck, it must be a **duck**.



Structural types specify that types must have a certain structure.

It is often called **duck typing** because it is based on the principle that if it looks like a duck and **quacks** like a duck, it must be a **duck**.

For example, consider the following code:

```
class Duck { def fly = println("Duck flies") }
class Eagle { def fly = println("Eagle flies") }
class Dog { def walk = println("Dog walks") }
```



Structural types specify that types must have a certain structure.

It is often called **duck typing** because it is based on the principle that if it looks like a duck and **quacks** like a duck, it must be a **duck**.

For example, consider the following code:

```
class Duck { def fly = println("Duck flies") }
class Eagle { def fly = println("Eagle flies") }
class Dog { def walk = println("Dog walks") }
```

How can we define a method that takes any object that has a fly method without changing the definition of the classes?



Structural types specify that types must have a certain structure.

It is often called **duck typing** because it is based on the principle that if it looks like a duck and **quacks** like a duck, it must be a **duck**.

For example, consider the following code:

```
class Duck { def fly = println("Duck flies") }
class Eagle { def fly = println("Eagle flies") }
class Dog { def walk = println("Dog walks") }
```

How can we define a method that takes any object that has a fly method without changing the definition of the classes?

We can use a **structural type** to do so:

<pre>import scala.reflect.Selectable.reflectiveSelectable</pre>			
<pre>def makeItFly(x: { def</pre>	<pre>fly: Unit }): Unit = x.fly</pre>		
<pre>makeItFly(new Duck)</pre>	// "Duck flies"		
<pre>makeItFly(new Eagle)</pre>	// "Eagle flies"		
makeItFly(new Dog)	// error: Dog does not have a fly method		



Let's use a **structural type** to define a autoClose method to automatically close a resource after using it.



Let's use a **structural type** to define a autoClose method to automatically close a resource after using it.

```
import scala.reflect.Selectable.reflectiveSelectable
```

```
class File { def close = println("File closed") }
class InputStarem { def close = println("InputStream closed") }
```

```
type Closable = { def close: Unit }
def autoClose(resource: Closable)(op: Closable => Unit): Unit =
  try op(resource) finally resource.close
```



Let's use a **structural type** to define a autoClose method to automatically close a resource after using it.

```
import scala.reflect.Selectable.reflectiveSelectable
```

```
class File { def close = println("File closed") }
class InputStarem { def close = println("InputStream closed") }
```

```
type Closable = { def close: Unit }
def autoClose(resource: Closable)(op: Closable => Unit): Unit =
  try op(resource) finally resource.close
```

autoClose(new File)(f => println("Reading from file"))
// "Reading from file"
// "File closed"



Let's use a **structural type** to define a autoClose method to automatically close a resource after using it.

```
import scala.reflect.Selectable.reflectiveSelectable
```

```
class File { def close = println("File closed") }
class InputStarem { def close = println("InputStream closed") }
```

```
type Closable = { def close: Unit }
def autoClose(resource: Closable)(op: Closable => Unit): Unit =
  try op(resource) finally resource.close
```

autoClose(new File)(f => println("Reading from file"))
// "Reading from file"
// "File closed"

```
autoClose(new InputStarem)(in => println("Reading from input stream"))
// "Reading from input stream"
// "InputStream closed"
```

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



Type lambdas are a way to define anonymous type constructors.



Type lambdas are a way to define anonymous type constructors.

For example, consider the following code:

```
type MapInt = [X] =>> Map[Int, X]
val m1: MapInt[String] = Map(1 -> "one", 2 -> "two")
val m2: MapInt[Double] = Map(1 -> 1.0, 2 -> 2.0)
```



Type lambdas are a way to define anonymous type constructors.

For example, consider the following code:

```
type MapInt = [X] =>> Map[Int, X]
val m1: MapInt[String] = Map(1 -> "one", 2 -> "two")
val m2: MapInt[Double] = Map(1 -> 1.0, 2 -> 2.0)
```

A parameterized type is regarded as a shorthand for a type lambda:

type T[X] = R
// is equivalent to
type T = [X] =>> R



Type lambdas are a way to define anonymous type constructors.

For example, consider the following code:

```
type MapInt = [X] =>> Map[Int, X]
val m1: MapInt[String] = Map(1 -> "one", 2 -> "two")
val m2: MapInt[Double] = Map(1 -> 1.0, 2 -> 2.0)
```

A parameterized type is regarded as a shorthand for a type lambda:

type T[X] = R
// is equivalent to
type T = [X] =>> R

The body of a type lambda can again be a type lambda:

type Pair = [X] =>> [Y] =>> (X, Y)
val p: Pair[Int][String] = (1, "one")

Type Lambdas – Example



For example, let's define our own Try type using a type lambda.

Type Lambdas – Example



For example, let's define our own Try type using a type lambda.

```
type MyTry = [X] =>> Either[Throwable, X]
val myTryInt: MyTry[Int] = Right(10)
val myTryStr: MyTry[String] = Right("Hello")
val myTryLeft: MyTry[Int] = Left(new Exception("Error"))
println(myTryInt) // Right(10)
println(myTryStr) // Right("Hello")
println(myTryLeft) // Left(java.lang.Exception: Error)
```

The left side of Either is always a Throwable and the right side is remained as a **blank** to be filled with any type.

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



Scala supports **polymorphic methods** as follows:

def reverse[A](xs: List[A]): List[A] = xs.reverse



Scala supports **polymorphic methods** as follows:

```
def reverse[A](xs: List[A]): List[A] = xs.reverse
```

Similarly, a **polymorphic function type** is a function type which accepts type parameters.

val reverse: [A] => List[A] => List[A] =
 [A] => (xs: List[A]) => xs.reverse



Scala supports polymorphic methods as follows:

```
def reverse[A](xs: List[A]): List[A] = xs.reverse
```

Similarly, a **polymorphic function type** is a function type which accepts type parameters.

val reverse: [A] => List[A] => List[A] =
 [A] => (xs: List[A]) => xs.reverse

This type describes function values which takes a type A as a parameter and a list of type List[A] and returns the same type List[A].



Scala supports **polymorphic methods** as follows:

```
def reverse[A](xs: List[A]): List[A] = xs.reverse
```

Similarly, a **polymorphic function type** is a function type which accepts type parameters.

val reverse: [A] => List[A] => List[A] =
 [A] => (xs: List[A]) => xs.reverse

This type describes function values which takes a type A as a parameter and a list of type List[A] and returns the same type List[A].

Another example is a map method for tuples:

Polymorphic Function Types vs. Type Lambdas

Polymorphic function types should not be confused with type lambdas.
Polymorphic Function Types vs. Type Lambdas

Polymorphic function types should not be confused with type lambdas.

A good way of understanding the difference is to notice that

• polymorphic functions are applied in terms

```
// Polymorphic function type
val id: [A] => A => A = [A] => (x: A) => x
val idInt: Int => Int = id[Int]
```

Polymorphic Function Types vs. Type Lambdas 🔰 🐼 PLRG

Polymorphic function types should not be confused with type lambdas.

A good way of understanding the difference is to notice that

• polymorphic functions are applied in terms

```
// Polymorphic function type
val id: [A] => A => A = [A] => (x: A) => x
val idInt: Int => Int = id[Int]
```

• type lambdas are applied in types, whereas

```
// Type lambda
type Id = [A] =>> A => A
type IdInt = Id[Int]
// Mixing type lambda and polymorphic function
val idInt2: IdInt = id[Int]
```

Contents



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types



A **match type** reduces to one of its right-hand sides, depending on the match of the type argument.

type Elem[X] = X match
 case String => Char
 case List[t] => t
 case Vector[t] => t



A **match type** reduces to one of its right-hand sides, depending on the match of the type argument.

```
type Elem[X] = X match
  case String => Char
  case List[t] => t
  case Vector[t] => t
```

For example, the following code defines a method that returns the first element of data structures:

```
def firstElem[X](xs: X): Elem[X] = xs match
  case x: String => x.charAt(0)
  case x: List[t] => x.head
  case x: Vector[t] => x.head
```



A **match type** reduces to one of its right-hand sides, depending on the match of the type argument.

```
type Elem[X] = X match
  case String => Char
  case List[t] => t
  case Vector[t] => t
```

For example, the following code defines a method that returns the first element of data structures:

```
def firstElem[X](xs: X): Elem[X] = xs match
  case x: String => x.charAt(0)
  case x: List[t] => x.head
  case x: Vector[t] => x.head
```

val	x:	Char	=	firstElem(" <mark>Hello</mark> ")	// 'H'	
val	y:	Int	=	<pre>firstElem(List(1, 2))</pre>	// 1	
val	z:	Double	=	<pre>firstElem(Vector(1.0,</pre>	2.0))	// 1.0



We can define recursive match types as follows:

```
type LeafElem[X] = X match
  case Int => Int
  case String => Char
  case List[t] => LeafElem[t]
  case Vector[t] => LeafElem[t]
```



We can define recursive match types as follows:

```
type LeafElem[X] = X match
  case Int => Int
  case String => Char
  case List[t] => LeafElem[t]
  case Vector[t] => LeafElem[t]
```

For example, it returns the first leaf element of data structures:

```
def leafElem[X](xs: X): LeafElem[X] = xs match
  case x: Int => x
  case x: String => x.charAt(0)
  case x: List[t] => leafElem(x.head)
  case x: Vector[t] => leafElem(x.head)
```



We can define recursive match types as follows:

```
type LeafElem[X] = X match
  case Int => Int
  case String => Char
  case List[t] => LeafElem[t]
  case Vector[t] => LeafElem[t]
```

For example, it returns the first leaf element of data structures:

```
def leafElem[X](xs: X): LeafElem[X] = xs match
  case x: Int => x
  case x: String => x.charAt(0)
  case x: List[t] => leafElem(x.head)
  case x: Vector[t] => leafElem(x.head)
```

```
val x: Char = leafElem("Hello") // 'H'
val y: Int = leafElem(List(List(1, 2), List(3, 4))) // 1
val z: Char = leafElem(Vector(List(Vector("Hi", "Bye")))) // 'H'
```

Summary



- 1. Intersection and Union Types
- 2. Self Types
- 3. Opaque Types
- 4. Structural Types
- 5. Type Lambdas
- 6. Polymorphic Function Types
- 7. Match Types

Next Lecture



Contextual Abstractions

Jihyeok Park jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr https://plrg.korea.ac.kr